

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				,	
APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,916	10	0/23/2003	Jan Herschel	H01.2-11371 6523	
490	7590	06/16/2005			
VIDAS, A		STEINKRAUS, F	SICONOLFI, ROBERT		
	SUITE 2000				PAPER NUMBER
MINNETO	MINNETONKA, MN 55343-9185 DATE MAIL ED: 06/16/2005				
				DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/691,916	HERSCHEL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert A. Siconolfi	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 March 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Ac	tion Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20050608				

Application/Control Number: 10/691,916

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment filed on 3/9/05 has been received.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear to the examiner if the brake conduit of claim 1 is the same as the brake line later claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toomey (U. S. Patent no. 3,765,729) in view of Kessler (U. S. Patent no. 6.079.792). Toomey discloses:

A braking device for an industrial truck, comprising a hydraulic brake cylinder 6 which is coupled to an actuating element 5 and is connected with at least one hydraulic brake 29 associated with a wheel 1-4 of the industrial truck via a brake line, characterized in that

Application/Control Number: 10/691,916

Art Unit: 3683

another hydraulic brake cylinder 15 is connected to the hydraulic brake line. The first and second brake cylinders are connected to the brake line a shuttle valve 22. Toomey does not disclose an electromagnet (solenoid) to actuate the second brake cylinder. Kessler teaches a solenoid connected by a lever to actuate a brake cylinder (see figure 2 master cylinder 24, solenoid 22, lever 60). It would have been obvious to

actuation as taught by Kessler in the brake system of Toomey for better emergency

one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the solenoid

response. Electrical systems can actuate quicker than a purely mechanical system as

well actuate independent of driver desire based on electronic inputs form environmental

sensors (speed, radar etc)

Regarding claim 3, Kessler does not specifically teach a spring attached to the solenoid. Springs are well known as means to reset a solenoid back into a base position. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a spring in order to reset the solenoid which allows for simplified control (the solenoid position does not have to be determined before a control signal is sent). Regarding claims 5 and 6, Kessler does not teach placing the pivot attachment to the second brake cylinder between the other two pivots. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the second brake cylinder pivot between the other two pivots as such placement is merely a design choice based on design constraints for placement of the elements. The length of the moment

arms determines the functionality of the linkage not the order of the pivots.

Regarding claim 7, joint mounting 30

Art Unit: 3683

Regarding claim 8, retaining plate 32

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Kessler does not specifically disclose a forked connection to the lever. Such a connection is common as it provides more stability than a single sided connection (no torque placed on the rod).

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 3/9/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that Toomey does not disclose connecting the first and second cylinders to the same conduit. This is incorrect. The cylinders are connected to the same conduit (conduit 27) after the shuttle valve. This is the exact same setup as in the current invention in which the cylinders are connected to the same conduit after the shuttle valve 24.
- 7. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Application/Control Number: 10/691,916 Page 5

Art Unit: 3683

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3683

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Siconolfi whose telephone number is 571-272-7124. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10 am-3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on (571) 272-7099. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert A. Sicondi Primary Examiner Art Unit 3683